

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/724,777	12/02/2003	Mark D. Robinson	7132-1	1914	
7590 04/14/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
SHLESINGER, ARKWRIGHT & GARVEY LLP 3000 SOUTH EADS STREET			PECHHOLD, ALEXANDRA K		
ARLINGTON,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3671		

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•1		10/724,777	ROBINSON, MARK D.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexandra K Pechhold	3671			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/2/	<u>03</u> .				
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>19-22,27-41</u> are subject to restriction and the application is a	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Aug et	w.,					
Attachmen  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notic 3) D Inforr	r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/724,777 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 19-22 and 27-31, drawn to a manhole with a cover, seal, pin, a locking and sealing assembly, and locking and sealing element, classified in class 404, subclass 25. This structure claims the resulting modified manhole after the conversion.
- II. Claims 31-41, drawn to a manhole with a cover, hinge, and blind flange, classified in class 404, subclass 25. This structure pertains to the existing manhole structure before conversion.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a different type of manhole closure and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,777 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the

search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Terrence L. B. Brown on March 18,2004 to request

an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election

being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexandra Pechhold whose telephone number is (703) 305-0870. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:00am to 5:30pm and alternating Fridays from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (703)308-3870. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Thomas B. Will

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600

AKP 4/8/04